

Sexual Harassment of Males

Paul Ellwanger

When, oh when, will the case be made that immodest dress by women is **sexual harassment of men** -- often inciting them to commit sex crimes?

Immodest dress by both women & men dangerously ignores the most basic & universally known **laws of human nature** & human physiology. But for those who don't seem to get it -- that men are much more easily aroused than women -- we have only to look at the rampant sex crimes committed daily throughout the western world. When's the last time you heard of a woman sexually attacking a man?

Vanity & Pride are the twin demons that labor mightily to dupe adults, mostly women, to abandon virtue & adopt immodesty. These were the perfect catalysts used in the aftermath of the French Revolution for introducing & exploiting semi-nude women's fashions which have been on the increase ever since.

But what is this thing called modesty? It can be defined as that virtue by which we rightly regulate our conduct, dress & demeanor in respect to those things that can lead to impure thoughts, desires, and actions in ourselves and in others.

Women must be the **guardians of modesty**. When they become immodest & allow themselves to vainly be used as symbols of immodesty, they literally **drag the world down** into this hedonistic mire, leading to such consequences as all manner of sex crimes by all age groups, & against all age groups. Family integrity is of course jeopardized by a **jaded & cavalier sexual attitude** which encourages promiscuity & divorce, with an horrendous number of innocent children as the victims of broken homes & being born out of wedlock -- not to mention the abortion holocaust..

It is the height of hypocrisy & the mark of a chronically ill society when women can flaunt their immodest dress in the work place (like waving a red flag in front of a bull) & are then allowed to cry "sexual harassment" when a male employee succumbs to such lewd female activity. **Feminists are party to this hypocrisy**, just as they are conspicuously selective in using the charge of sexual harassment against a man in public office (or seeking such an office). Indeed, feminist groups were like vultures against Clarence Thomas (whose guilt was in doubt), while their silence is deafening in the case of William Clinton (whose guilt was publicly admitted). Shame on such hypocrisy & shame on those too gullible not to recognize the debasement of woman as little more than a sex object. **Real feminists, where are you?**

It is common knowledge that in some cultures the woman's dress, rather than accentuating the human form, serves to mute that form -- acting as a shield against frail human weaknesses. Such cultures have an almost nonexistent sex crime rate & the family unit is essentially intact. Indeed, the males in that culture are not sexually harassed. Shame on the western woman who flaunts in public her accentuated body & is thereby guilty of sexually harassing males. Shame also on clergy who do not address this problem from the pulpit.

Mary Most Pure, pray for us

origins@PeoplePC.com